

Ympäristöohjelman päähaut ja vinkkejä hakijoille

| 4.9.2012

| Jaana Lehtimäki, NCP



SUOMEN AKATEMIA

FP7-ENV -päähaut v. 2007-2012

| Call | Call budget € million | Budget requested € million | <i>Budget rate</i> | Proposals received | Proposals evaluated | Ineligible | <i>Ineligible rate</i> | Projects selected | <i>Projects rate</i> |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| FP7-ENV-2007-1 | 196 | 1,658 | 11.8% | 632 | 592 | 40 | 6.3% | 73 | 12.3% |
| FP7-ENV-2008-1 | 207 | 1,142 | 18.1% | 425 | 402 | 23 | 5.4% | 66 | 16.4% |
| FP7-ENV-2009-1 | 189.5 | 866 | 21.9% | 277 | 266 | 11 | 4.0% | 60 | 22.6% |
| FP7-ENV-2010 | 175 | 661 | 26.5% | 255 | 242 | 13 | 5.1% | 62 | 25.6% |
| FP7-ENV-2011 | 155 | 804 | 19.3% | 246 | 237 | 9 | 3.7% | 40 | 16.9% |
| FP7-ENV-2012-one-stage | 38 | 259 | 14.7% | 77 | 71 | 6 | 7.8% | 17 | 23.9% |
| FP7-ENV-2012-two-stage (*) | 217 | 584 | 37.1% | 116 | 115 | 1 | 0.9% | 43 | 37.4% |
| | 1,178 | 5,974 | 19.7% | 2028 | 1925 | 103 | 5.1% | 361 | 18.8% |

(*) at stage 2

Source: Avelino González, Insights to proposal submission and evaluation, InfoDay on 2013 Environment Calls FP7 - 11 June 2012

Suomalaiset osallistujat ympäristöohjelmassa (6/2012)

- Varmistuneet osallistumiset 78 kpl
 - -> onnistumis% 22,03 (suomalaiset ka 22,06%)
- Koordinaattoreita 5 (THL, TY, 2 x VTT, BONUS EEIG)
 - -> onnistumis% 15,91 (16,62 %)
- Suomalaisia osallistujia
 - kaikista ympäristöohjelman osallistujista n. 5 %
 - 93% ns. päähauista rahoitetuissa hankkeissa
 - FP7-ENV-2007-1 (15 kpl), FP7-ENV-2008-1 (18 kpl), FP7-ENV-2009-1 (21 kpl), FP7-ENV-2010 (10 kpl), FP7-ENV-2011 (9 kpl)
 - 82 % yhteistutkimushankkeissa (CP)
 - Mukana 60 hankkeessa (1 - 4 osallistujaa per hanke)
 - Eniten osallistumisia (yht. 65 %):
 - SYKE (17), HY (10), IL (10), THL (6), EFI (4), ISY (4)

Ympäristöohjelmasta rahoitettujen hankkeiden, joissa suomalaisia osallistujia, rahoitus

| | Project total cost € | Project EC contribution € | Participant total cost € | Participant EC contribution € |
|---------|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Total | 475.468.571 | 351.543.560 | 27.159.364 | 20.224.984 |
| Min | 1.077.888 | 949.180 | 11.366 | 0 |
| Max | 13.635.613 | 9.994.842 | 1.988.552 | 949.181 |
| Average | 6.095.751 | 4.506.969 | 348.197 | 259.295 |

n = 78 ja mukana laskelmissa viisi rahoitusmuotoa (n. 82 % CP)

Päähakujen rahoituskriteerit

- Jätä hakemus ennen haun päättymistä
- Tarkista sopiiko ehdotuksenne aiheen kuvaukseen ja rahoitusmuotoon
- Tarkista komission rahoitusosuus
 - CP 3, 6, 9, 10 ja 12 milj. €
 - CSA 1 ja 3 milj. €
 - kahdessa hakuaiheessa ei ole määritelty (ENV.2013.6.3-1 & 6.3.2)
- Tarkista osallistujien lukumäärä
 - 3 osallistujaa eri jäsen- tai liittännäisvaltioista (CP & CSA-CA)
- Tarkista onko muita kelpoisuusvaatimuksia
 - 11 hakuaiheessa rahoitusosuus P&K –yrityksille (6 kpl 15 %, 1 kpl 20 %, 4 kpl 30 %) (tarkistetaan neuvotteluvaiheessa)
- Hakemuksen täytyy olla täydellinen (osat A ja B), luettavissa, saatavilla ja printattavissa. Lataa B-osasta kopio ja tarkista se.

FP7-ENV-2013 main calls: evaluation criteria

| Minimum thresholds | FP7-ENV-2013-one-stage | FP7-ENV-2013-two -stage, stage 1 | FP7-ENV-2013-two -stage, stage 2 | FP7-ENV-2013-WATER-INNO-DEMO |
|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| S/T quality | 3/5 | 4/5 | 4/5 | 3/5 |
| Implementation | 3/5 | - | 3/5 | 3/5 |
| Impact | 3/5 | 3/5 | 3/5 | 3/5 |
| Overall threshold | 10/15 | 8/10 | 11/5 | 10/15 |

The evaluation criteria are detailed in the Annex II to the WP2013 (Guide for applicants). The description is adapted to the funding scheme.

S/T quality

- Address in this part the relevance to the topic
- Make it clear, and be objective
 - concept and objectives
 - the current state-of-the-art and the expected progress
 - assess the risks of failure
- Provide references, including your currently related activities
- Methodology and integration of activities should be credible
- Work plan structure and planning shall be realistic
 - overall objectives => work packages
 - specific objectives => tasks => deliverables
 - milestones are associated to relevant objectives and should include criteria for assessment and further decision

Implementation

- Present the management structure and how decisions are taken
- The proposal should explain how partners' activities will be integrated to form a robust consortium
 - Role and contributions of every single partner should be well defined and relevant experience explained
 - Stakeholders (end-users, industry, decision makers, general public etc.) shall be involved as appropriated
- Justify the resources allocated
 - Proposals are not compared in terms of pure costs but the allocation of resources should make sense
 - Avoid calculation mistakes, provide consistent information in both part A and B
 - Reimbursement rates vs. types of activities: from 50% to 100% => **BE REALISTIC**
 - Management activities does not include scientific coordination

Impact

- Explain how the project and its potential outcome(s) will contribute to the impacts listed in the WP2013
 - Do not hesitate to go beyond the listed impacts
 - Think about European added value
- Dissemination, exploitation and potential use of projects results is a ‘must’
 - Exploitation and use of the generated knowledge goes well beyond pure commercial prospects
 - Stakeholders engagement
 - Specific dissemination strategies
 - Open access policy (OpenAIRE)
 - IPR
- Innovation impact (introduced already in the previous call)
 - Markets and areas of potential use of projects results, including measures to increase the market uptake:
 - Verification, testing, standardisation
 - Identifying potential users/stakeholders, financial support for development/commercialisation


FP7-ENV-haut (wp 2013)

| Call | Deadline | Env budget (total) M€ | No topics / | Funding scheme |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|------------------|
| FP7-ENV-2013-one stage | 16.10.2012 | 17 | 4 | CSA-CA |
| FP7-ENV-2013-two stage | 1) 16.10.2012 2) ~28.2.2013 | 238 | 22 | CP |
| FP7-2013-NMP-ENV-Eeb | 4.12.2012 | 6 (116) | 1 (yht 7) | CP |
| FP7-Ocean 2013 | 7.2.2013 | 15 (55) | 4 | CP |
| ERANET-2013-RTD | 28.2.2013 | 4 (61,1) | 1 (yht 15) | CSA ERA NET PLUS |
| FP7-ENV-2013-WATER INNO & DEMO | 4.4.2013 | 40 | 2 | CP, CSA-CA |
| Total | | 330 | 34 | |


FP7-ENV- päähaut

- **FP7-ENV-2013-one-stage 17 milj. €**
 - Deadline 16/10/2012, 17:00 Brussels time
 - 4 topics
 - all CA & all 6.5 Mobilising environmental knowledge for policy, industry...
- **FP7-ENV-2013-two-stage 248 milj. €**
 - 1. Deadline 16/10/2012 (first stage), 2. Deadline ~28/02/2013 (second stage)
 - 22 topics
 - all CP & all challenges
- **FP7-ENV-2013-WATER INNO&DEMO 40 milj. €**
 - Deadline 4/4/2013, 17:00 Brussels time
 - 2 topics
 - one CP and one CA, both 6.3 Improving resource efficiency


Planned evaluation process for the one-stage and two-stage calls



Planned evaluation process for the two-stage call (stage 2)



Planned evaluation process for the water call



Lisätietoja

Kansalliset yhteyshenkilöt:

- Jaana Lehtimäki, Suomen Akatemia
- Tuomas Lehtinen, Tekes

Komiteajäsen:

- Laura Raaska, Suomen Akatemia

Komitean asiantuntija:

- Mikko Strahlendorff, Liikenne- ja viestintäministeriö

Ympäristöohjelman oman sivut:

www.tekes.fi/eu -> 7.puiteohjelma -> Aihealueet -> Ympäristö



SUOMEN AKATEMIA
